



## Peer Reviewed

### Title:

Sexual Assault Training in Emergency Medicine Residencies: A Survey of Program Directors

### Journal Issue:

[Western Journal of Emergency Medicine, 14\(5\)](#)

### Author:

[Sande, Margaret Kramer](#), Denver Health & Hospital Authority University of Colorado Denver, School of Medicine

[Broderick, Kerry B.](#), Denver Health & Hospital Authority University of Colorado Denver, School of Medicine

[Moreira, MD, Maria E.](#), Denver Health & Hospital Authority University of Colorado Denver, School of Medicine

[Bender, Brooke](#), Denver Health & Hospital Authority

[Hopkins, Emily](#), Denver Health & Hospital Authority

[Buchanan, Jennie A.](#), Denver Health & Hospital Authority University of Colorado Denver, School of Medicine

### Publication Date:

2013

### Publication Info:

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine

### Permalink:

<http://escholarship.org/uc/item/2zk3d6m6>

### Keywords:

Sexual Assault, SANE, Resident Education, Education, Forensic Medicine

### Local Identifier:

uciem\_westjem\_12201

### Abstract:

**Introduction:** There is currently no standard forensic medicine training program for emergency medicine residents. In the advent of sexual assault nurse examiner (SANE) programs aimed at improving the quality of care for sexual assault victims, it is also unclear how these programs impact emergency medicine (EM) resident forensic medicine training. The purpose of this study was to gather information on EM residency programs' training in the care of sexual assault patients and determine what impact SANE programs may have on the experience of EM resident training from the perspective of residency program directors (PDs).



eScholarship  
University of California

eScholarship provides open access, scholarly publishing services to the University of California and delivers a dynamic research platform to scholars worldwide.

**Methods:** This was a cross-sectional survey. The study cohort was all residency PDs from approved EM residency training programs who completed a closed-response self-administered survey electronically.

**Results:** We sent surveys to 152 PDs, and 71 responded for an overall response rate of 47%. Twenty-two PDs (31%) reported that their residency does not require procedural competency for the sexual assault exam, and 29 (41%) reported their residents are required only to observe sexual assault exam completion to demonstrate competency. Residency PDs were asked how their programs established resident requirements for sexual assault exams. Thirty-seven PDs (52%) did not know how their sexual assault exam requirement was established.

**Conclusion:** More than half of residency PDs did not know how their sexual assault guidelines were established, and few were based upon recommendations from the literature. There is no clear consensus as to how PDs view the effect of SANE programs on resident competency with the sexual assault exam. This study highlights both a need for increased awareness of EM resident sexual assault education nationally and also a possible need for a training curriculum defining guidelines for EM residents performing sexual assault exams. [West J Emerg Med. 2013;14(5):461–466.]

**Copyright Information:**



Copyright 2013 by the article author(s). This work is made available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 license, <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>



eScholarship  
University of California

eScholarship provides open access, scholarly publishing services to the University of California and delivers a dynamic research platform to scholars worldwide.

# Sexual Assault Training in Emergency Medicine Residencies: A Survey of Program Directors

Margaret K. Sande, MD, MS\*\*

Kerry B. Broderick, MD\*\*†

Maria E. Moreira, MD\*\*

Brooke Bender, MPH\*

Emily Hopkins, MSPH\*

Jennie A. Buchanan, MD\*\*

\* Denver Health & Hospital Authority, Denver, Colorado

† University of Colorado, Aurora, Colorado

*Supervising Section Editor:* Beau Briese, MD, MA

Submission history: Submitted March 25, 2012; Revision received February 13, 2013; Accepted February 15, 2013

Full text available through open access at [http://escholarship.org/uc/uciem\\_westjem](http://escholarship.org/uc/uciem_westjem)

DOI: 10.5811/westjem.2013.2.12201

**Introduction:** There is currently no standard forensic medicine training program for emergency medicine residents. In the advent of sexual assault nurse examiner (SANE) programs aimed at improving the quality of care for sexual assault victims, it is also unclear how these programs impact emergency medicine (EM) resident forensic medicine training. The purpose of this study was to gather information on EM residency programs' training in the care of sexual assault patients and determine what impact SANE programs may have on the experience of EM resident training from the perspective of residency program directors (PDs).

**Methods:** This was a cross-sectional survey. The study cohort was all residency PDs from approved EM residency training programs who completed a closed-response self-administered survey electronically.

**Results:** We sent surveys to 152 PDs, and 71 responded for an overall response rate of 47%. Twenty-two PDs (31%) reported that their residency does not require procedural competency for the sexual assault exam, and 29 (41%) reported their residents are required only to observe sexual assault exam completion to demonstrate competency. Residency PDs were asked how their programs established resident requirements for sexual assault exams. Thirty-seven PDs (52%) did not know how their sexual assault exam requirement was established.

**Conclusion:** More than half of residency PDs did not know how their sexual assault guidelines were established, and few were based upon recommendations from the literature. There is no clear consensus as to how PDs view the effect of SANE programs on resident competency with the sexual assault exam. This study highlights both a need for increased awareness of EM resident sexual assault education nationally and also a possible need for a training curriculum defining guidelines for EM residents performing sexual assault exams. [West J Emerg Med. 2013;14(5):461–466.]

## INTRODUCTION

In 2009 more than 125,000 sexual assaults were reported in the United States (U.S.).<sup>1</sup> Emergency physicians (EP) work closely with law enforcement as victims often present to emergency departments (ED) for evaluation, and physician documentation and testimony in sexual assault cases is valuable for the prosecution of offenders. Studies show that accurate and thorough documentation of injuries correlates

with higher rates of charges filed and successful conviction of perpetrators.<sup>2,3</sup>

The American Board of Emergency Medicine's Model of Clinical Practice of Emergency Medicine includes sexual assault patient assessment and the completion of the sexual assault examination as integral topics and skills, but there are no recommendations regarding the number of hours or content dedicated to resident training in assessment of

**Table 1.** Descriptive statistics of study population.

Descriptive statistic	Number of respondents (%)
3-year residency program*	45 (63%)
4-year residency program*	26 (37%)
SANE program at institution	56 (79%)
24-hour SANE coverage	43 (61%)
SANE elective available	13 (18%)

SANE, sexual assault nurse examiner

\*Given the anonymity of respondents, program directors of 2-4 year programs may have identified themselves as either a 3-year or 4-year program.

**Table 2.** Number of resident-performed sexual assault exams required for procedural competency as reported by program directors.

Number of required sexual assault exams	Number of respondents (%)
None	22 (31%)
1-5	27 (38%)
>5	2 (3%)
Did not know	20 (28%)
Observation only	29 (41%)

**Table 3.** How resident requirements for sexual assault exams were established as reported by program directors.

Basis of resident requirement	Number of respondents (%)	95% CI
Literature-based recommendation	1 (1%)	
SANE-based recommendation	11 (15%)	8-26%
Do not know	37 (52%)	40-64%

CI, confidence interval; SANE, sexual assault nurse examiner

sexually assaulted patients.<sup>4</sup> While EPs remain the initial primary providers treating victims of violent crimes, many are not properly trained to care for sexual assault patients. Guidelines providing educational direction for emergency medicine (EM) residency forensic training and sexual assault training are sparse.<sup>5</sup>

Over the last 35 years, sexual assault nurse examiner (SANE) programs, sometimes referred to as sexual assault forensic examiner programs (SAFE) or sexual assault response teams (SART) have improved the quality of care for sexual assault victims. (We collectively refer to these programs as SANE programs for simplicity).<sup>3,6</sup> There are now over 600 SANE programs in the U.S. and associated territories; these programs are quickly becoming the model of care for assault victims.<sup>3,7</sup> While the creation of SANE programs has improved patient care, it is unclear how these programs impact EM resident training at academic institutions.

The purpose of this study is two-fold: to gather information from the program directors (PDs) of U.S. EM residency programs regarding the current training of EM residents in the care of sexual assault patients, and to survey what PDs think has been the overall impact of SANE programs on EM resident training.

## METHODS

This is a cross-sectional survey of current program directors of U.S. EM residency training programs. The survey was available over a 3-month period from June 16 to September 16, 2010. No identifiable information was requested in the survey; responses were submitted electronically, anonymously, and voluntarily. Approval for this study was obtained from the Colorado Multiple Institutional Review Board; no informed consent was required.

We generated a list of current PDs from the 152 approved EM residency training programs from the Society of Academic Emergency Medicine residency catalog. A survey was developed by consensus of the authors of this paper and piloted to non-PDs for comment. We then circulated electronically a final survey consisting of 15 questions via Zoomerang (MarketTools Company, San Francisco, CA). The survey is included as the Figure.

We conducted participant recruitment via an electronic invitation that described the intent of the project. Various Dillman techniques, including the use of a shorter questionnaire, regular follow-up via email, and university sponsorship were used to strengthen the response rate.<sup>8</sup>

We aggregated data into an Excel database (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA) that was then transferred into SAS or Stata formats using translational software (dfPower/DBMS Copy, DataFlux Corporation, Cary, NC). We performed all statistical analyses using SAS Version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC) or Stata Version 10 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX). Descriptive statistics for continuous variables were expressed as medians with interquartile ranges and proportions as percentages with 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

## RESULTS

Of the 152 EM programs asked to complete the survey, 62 PDs completed the survey in its entirety, and 9 completed a portion of the survey for a total of 71 respondents (47%). The primary investigator reviewed the survey results, and answers to questions by partial responders were manually added into the overall data set.

Among the 71 who responded, the majority (79%) have a SANE program at their institution and a majority (61%) note that their residents work in hospitals offering 24-hour SANE coverage. Descriptive statistics of those responding to the survey are included in Table 1. Descriptive data about the implementation of SANE programs at institutions with residency programs are included in the Figure (questions 9, 12, and 13).

When PDs were asked about their residency programs' requirements in sexual assault education, the majority of responding PDs (41%) reported their residents are required only to observe sexual assault exam completion. Of those reporting required demonstration of exam performance, most PDs (38%) note their residents are required to perform 5 or

Survey Item	N	(%)	Survey Item	N	(%)
1) Is your residency a 3 or 4 year program?			Questions 9 – 15 were only answered by those respondents who indicated that they have a SANE program at their institution (n = 56)		
3 year	45	(63%)	9) If Yes, how long have you had a SANE program?		
4 year	26	(37%)	<1 year	3	(5%)
2) How many sexual assaults (SA) present to your ED each year?			1 – 3 years	6	(11%)
<100	22	(31%)	4 – 10 years	20	(36%)
100 – 300	24	(34%)	11 – 15 years	11	(20%)
301 – 500	7	(10%)	16 – 20 years	1	(2%)
501 – 700	0	(0%)	>20 years	1	(2%)
701 – 900	0	(0%)	Do not know	10	(18%)
>900	0	(0%)	10) Does your program offer a SANE (Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners) resident elective?		
Unknown	18	(25%)	Yes	13	w/ SANE (23%) overall (18%)
3) Are your residents required to perform or observe the SA exams. Or can they do both? (Check all that apply)			No	35	(63%)
Perform only	27	(38%)	Other, please specify		
Observe only	29	(41%)	11) Does your SANE program offer 24-hour coverage?		
Both perform and observe	15	(21%)	Yes	43	w/ SANE (77%) overall (61%)
4) How many SA exams are your EM residents required to do for procedural competency?			No	9	(16%)
None	22	(31%)	12) How many sexual assault exams did residents do per year PRIOR to the SANE program being instituted?		
1 – 5	27	(38%)	None	1	(2%)
6 – 10	2	(3%)	1 – 5	7	(13%)
11 – 15	0	(0%)	6 – 10	9	(16%)
16 – 20	0	(0%)	11 – 15	2	(4%)
>20	0	(0%)	16 – 20	5	(9%)
Do not know	20	(28%)	>20	2	(4%)
5) How did you decide on the number needed for procedural competency? (Check all that apply)			Do not know	26	(46%)
Literature based recommendation			13) How many sexual assault exams do residents do per year AFTER the SANE program was instituted?		
SANE (Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner) based recommendation	1	(1%)	None	7	(12%)
Do not know	11	(15%)	1 – 5	23	(41%)
Other, please specify	37	(52%)	6 – 10	2	(4%)
6) How many hours of didactic education on Sexual Assault do your residents receive during residency?			11 – 15	1	(2%)
None	1	(1%)	16 – 20	1	(2%)
1 – 5	49	(69%)	>20	1	(2%)
6 – 10	12	(17%)	Do not know	17	(30%)
11 – 20	1	(1%)	14) How has the SANE program impacted resident education?		
21 – 40	1	(1%)	Improved Only	15	(27%)
<40	1	(1%)	Hindered Only	10	(18%)
Do not know	6	(8%)	Both Improved and Hindered	2	(4%)
7) What are the didactics offered on this topic? (Check all that apply)			No change	25	(45%)
Lecture provided by Emergency Medicine Attendings	56	(79%)	15) If you answered improved or hindered in the above question, please explain.		See Table 5
Lecture provided by SANE nurse	47	(66%)			
Elective time	6	(8%)			
Simulation	5	(7%)			
Other, please specify					
8) Do you have a SANE program at your institution? If no, go to conclusion of survey.					
Yes	56	(79%)			
No	15	(21%)			

**Figure.** Survey distributed to program directors and associated responses.

**Table 4.** Overall program director opinion of sexual assault nurse examiner effect on resident education of sexual assault exams

Program director opinion	Number of respondents (%)	95% CI
Improved	15 (27%)	16-40%
Hindered	10 (18%)	9-30%
Both improved & hindered	2 (4%)	0.4-12.3%
No effect	25 (45%)	31-59%

CI, confidence interval

**Table 5.** Themes generated from Program Director comments of question: 'How has the sexual assault nurse examiner (SANE) program impacted resident education?'

Thematic category	(% responding) N=22
Improved:	
Residents learn the SANE standard of care	8 (36%)
Awareness of SANE role	2 (9%)
Hindered:	
Decreased Clinical Exposure/Experience	7 (32%)
Difficulty in performing a thorough exam independently	1 (5%)
View SA exams as SANE responsibility	1 (5%)
Other:	
Residents are able to see other patients	43 (61%)
Residents are less likely to testify in court	13 (18%)

SA, sexual assault

fewer sexual assault exams to achieve procedural competency. Additional findings regarding required competency in sexual assault exam completion are included in Table 2. With regard to didactics, the majority of PDs (69%) report their residents receive 1-5 hours of sexual assault education (Figure questions 6-7).

After defining how many sexual assault exams are required of residents for demonstration of competency, PDs were asked how such requirements were established (Table 3). One PD (1%) cited a literature-based recommendation, while the majority of PDs (52%) did not know how their sexual assault exam requirements were established. The remaining respondents cited various other methods, such as consensus decision, or reported that their residency program did not have a set requirement.

Among institutions reporting the availability of SANE programs, PD opinions differed as to whether SANE is felt to have helped or hindered resident education. Of the 56 PDs responding to the question, the majority (45%) felt SANE programs have had no effect on resident sexual assault examination education. Additional findings and associated confidence intervals are presented in Table 4. PDs were asked

to further explain their responses to this question, and themes generated from those responses are summarized in Table 5.

## DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first survey addressing sexual assault education across U.S. EM training programs. This study highlights the current state of sexual assault education across EM residencies, associated PD attitudes and attention paid to this area of resident education, and the possible effect of SANE programs on resident training and education.

There is significant variation in sexual assault training across EM residency programs. In our study, 31% of PDs report their EM residency programs do not require procedural competency in the SANE exam, 41% require observation alone, and 38% of programs require fewer than 5 exams. Only 2 programs (3%) reported requiring more than 5 sexual assault exams. This is in stark contrast to the training of SANE providers, who must perform a median of 80 hours of mandated clinical and didactic training to obtain procedural competency.<sup>9</sup> This study highlights the dichotomy in expectations between SANE versus resident competency and is noteworthy given that both groups care for the same patient population. Literature evaluating EM residents' skills in sexual assault exams does support that improvements in sexual assault examination skills can be made by providing tailored SANE-based training, as was done at the University of New Mexico, where a curriculum that included didactics, role play, and skills training was implemented. A study of this program found that resident skills can be on par with that of SANE providers.<sup>10</sup> Broader national support for a training program such as this is worth future consideration.

Second, there are equally varying degrees of awareness paid by EM residency PDs to this component of their residents' overall education, despite the EM Model of Clinical Practice curriculum's inclusion of sexual assault education. More than a quarter (28%) of responding PDs did not know how many resident-performed sexual assault exams are required for demonstration of procedural competency, and more than half of PDs had no insight regarding how their sexual assault guidelines were established. The overall response rate (47%) of this study may also be indicative of decreased attention paid to sexual assault education, though this can only be postulated. While in this study the majority of responding PDs reported they work at institutions with SANE programs (79%), given many physicians will ultimately practice in settings where there is no SANE program, it remains an important component of EM residency training.

Lastly, this study highlights that there is no clear consensus regarding how PDs feel SANE programs have affected resident education in sexual assault. The most commonly held opinion among PD respondents in this study was that SANE programs do not impact residency training, while 27% believed that resident training is improved, and

18% believed training is negatively impacted. There is a paucity of literature regarding the impact of SANE programs on resident competency in treating and examining a sexual assault patient. While SANE programs have been shown to enhance patient care and accuracy of evidence collection, there remains the possibility that the availability of a SANE program may decrease resident exposure to these patients and in turn affects their competency and comfort with the sexual assault exam due to limited exposure.<sup>3,6</sup> Resident training with a SANE nurse can be an excellent opportunity to learn how to proficiently perform exams. However, competency in all procedural components is likely not obtained solely by observation, but also by performing the complete exam and evidentiary collection. If SANE programs continue to thrive, it is possible the EM model of clinical practice may evolve and there may be a shift away from sexual assault examination education for resident physicians. However, many SANE programs have physician advisors; arguably it is important that they be competent in the forensic evaluation of the sexually assaulted patient. Many likely rely on training from residency or an interest in the care of this patient population. In the future EM might consider adopting the Australian curriculum of formal forensic fellowships after EM training as specialty training.<sup>11</sup>

### LIMITATIONS

The main limitations of this study are a result of its design as an electronic survey. The response rate was 47%, which is comparable to other web-based surveys, as one review paper reported a mean response rate of 36.8% for email surveys.<sup>12</sup> Our study was subject to response bias given that 79% of respondents have a SANE program at their institution. While we do not know the actual percent of EM residency programs with SANE programs, this is likely biased toward recruitment of PDs who have a SANE program at their institution. The study is inherently limited, given that data is self-reported and sexual assault residency requirements or the basis for them may vary from what is reported. Because of anonymity we were unable to characterize responders versus non-responders.

### CONCLUSION

In gathering information from U.S. EM residency PDs, we conclude there is no national consensus as to how an EM resident physician are educated in sexual assault examination and that there are varied attitudes and attention paid to this area of resident education.

Second, there is lack of consensus as to the effect of SANE programs on EM resident education in sexual assault exams. Given the overall success of SANE programs to date, it is anticipated that SANE programs will continue to coexist at residency training sites. In order to better elucidate the effect of SANE programs on resident training, future work should be targeted to residents at programs with SANE versus

those without, and if possible target residents at programs before and after the implementation of SANE programs. Projects such as these would more directly assess impact of SANE on resident preparedness in this area of their training.

These results should spur conversation within residency leadership about the status of their own sexual assault educational initiatives, and perhaps serve as the catalyst for groups with interest in this area to convene and assess the need for a national training model in sexual assault education.

---

*Address for Correspondence:* Margaret K. Sande, MD, MS.  
University of Colorado Department of Emergency Medicine, 12401 East 17th Ave., Box B215, Aurora, CO 80045. Email: mksande@gmail.com.

---

*Conflicts of Interest:* By the WestJEM article submission agreement, all authors are required to disclose all affiliations, funding sources and financial or management relationships that could be perceived as potential sources of bias. The authors disclosed none.

### REFERENCES

1. Truman, JL, Rand M. Bureau of Justice statistics bulletin: national crime victimization survey. criminal victimization, 2009. U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice programs. 2010. NCJ 231327.
2. McGregor MJ, Du Mont J, Myhr TL. Sexual assault forensic medical examination: is evidence related to successful prosecution? *Ann Emerg Med.* 2002;39:639-47.
3. Campbell R, Patterson D, Lichy LF. The effectiveness of sexual assault nurse examiner (SANE) programs: a review of psychological, medical, legal and community outcomes. *Trauma Violence Abuse.* 2005;6:313-29.
4. 2011 Model of the Clinical Practice of Emergency Medicine. Available at: [https://www.abem.org/PUBLIC/\\_Rainbow/Documents/2011%20EM%20Model%20-%20Website%20Document.pdf](https://www.abem.org/PUBLIC/_Rainbow/Documents/2011%20EM%20Model%20-%20Website%20Document.pdf). Accessed February 1, 2013.
5. Wiler JL, Bailey H. The need for emergency medicine resident training in forensic medicine. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2007;50:733-738.
6. Sievers V, Murphy S, Miller JJ. Sexual assault evidence collection more accurate when completed by sexual assault nurse examiners: Colorado's experience. *J Emerg Nurs.* 2003;29:511-14.
7. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner, Sexual Assault Response Team. SANE Program Locator. Available at: <http://www.sane-sart.com/staticpages/index.php?page=20031023141144274>. Accessed February 1, 2013.
8. Dillman DA. *Mail and internet surveys: the tailored design method. 2nd Edition.* Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2007.
9. Ciancone AC, Wilson C, Collette R, Gerson LW. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner programs in the United States. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2000;35:353-7.

10. McLaughlin SA, Monahan C, Doezema D, et al. Implementation and evaluation of a training program for the management of sexual assault in the emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2007;49:489-94.
11. Young S, Wells D, Summers I. Specific training in clinical forensic medicine is useful to ACEM trainees. *Emerg Med Australas.* 2004;16:441-5.
12. Sheehan, KB. E-mail survey response rates: a review. *J Comput Mediat Commun.* 2001;6:0. doi:10.1111/j.1083-6101.2001.tb00117.x